

Addendum to Measuring the Promise: A Compendium of Recovery Measures, Volume II



December 2007

Prepared by:

The Evaluation Center @ Human Services Research Institute



TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
ELEMENTS OF A RECOVERY-FACILITATING SYSTEM (ERFS)	2
Introduction	2
Instrument Description	2
Testing and Psychometric Properties	2
Usage Information	3
References and Suggested Readings	3
ERFS Assessment: Adult	4
ERFS Assessment: Child	5
RECOVERY PROMOTING RELATIONSHIPS SCALE (RPRS)	6
Introduction	6
Instrument Description	6
Testing and Psychometric Properties	7
Usage Information	7
References and Suggested Readings	7
Other	7
RPRS	
INSTRUMENT UPDATE: RECOVERY SELF-ASSESSMENT (RSA-R)	10
Overview	10
Usage Information	10
RSA-R: Person in Recovery	11
RSA-R: Provider	13
RSA-R: Administrator/Manager	15
RSA-R: Family/Significant Other	

INTRODUCTION

Measurement of mental health recovery and service support of recovery is a rapidly growing field. The Evaluation Center@HSRI published a second volume of a compendium of recovery measures in 2005; two years later, new measures have emerged, and existing measures have been revised. Included in this addendum are reviews of two measures that were not available for review in 2005, as well as a brief update on one measure that was included in the second volume. The measures reviewed in this addendum are:

Elements of a Recovery-Facilitating System (ERFS) Assessments {Ridgway (2007)}¹

Recovery Promoting Relationships Scale (RPRS)
Russinova, Rogers, & Ellison (2006)

Recovery Self-Assessment Revised (RSA-R): Update {O'Connell, Tondora, Croog, Evans & Davidson (2007)}

The second volume categorized measures as either measures of individual recovery or measures of system orientation to recovery. While there were considerably more individual than systems measures at the time the second volume was published, the measures reviewed in this addendum all fall into the systems category.

The earlier volumes of the recovery compendium contain reviews of instruments that were not considered for inclusion in this addendum simply because they had not been updated at the time the addendum was published. As a result, readers are encouraged to continue using both volumes of the recovery measures compendium: *Can We Measure Recovery? A Compendium of Recovery and Recovery Related Instruments* (Ralph, Kidder & Philips, 2000) and *Measuring the Promise: A Compendium of Recovery Measures, Volume II* (Campbell-Orde, Chamberlin, Carpenter & Leff, 2005). Both volumes are available for download, free of charge, at the Evaluation Center's website: Volume I may be accessed at http://www.tecathsri.org/product_description.asp?pid=129.

Whether you are planning a program evaluation, working to establish standing data collection on recovery and recovery orientation in a system, or simply interested in the ways that recovery is conceptualized and measured, we hope this addendum and the earlier volumes of the recovery compendium will meet your needs.

¹Please note that material in parentheses, { }, will be confirmed with measure authors before addendum is made publicly available.

ELEMENTS OF A RECOVERY-FACILITATING SYSTEM (ERFS) ASSESSMENTS {Ridgway (2007)}

Information drawn from: Yale Program for Recovery and Community Health (2007)

Introduction

Aim: The Elements of a Recovery Facilitating System (ERFS) Assessments are designed to distinguish traditional systems of care from those that are recovery-oriented, and to assist systems in monitoring their progress from traditional to recovery orientations. The ERFS include an assessment for use by adults and a separate assessment for use by children, youth and their families. In addition to yielding data regarding system orientation, the ERFS are designed to facilitate a process of engagement and capacity building among consumers and other system stakeholders.

Conceptual Foundation and Development: The ERFS were developed to support the process and outcome evaluation of Connecticut's transformation efforts under SAMHSA's Mental Health Transformation State Incentive Grant (MHT SIG) initiative. As a result, the ERFS are aligned with the goals of the MHT SIG initiative. The child and youth version is founded on a systems of care approach and uses the concepts of resilience and family-driven care. Child and family advocates involved in Connecticut's MHT SIG initiative were instrumental in the development of the ERFS child and youth version, offering extensive input, and spearheading a small, informal pilot test. Adult and youth consumers and advocates provided ongoing direction for the development of the assessments and created plans and a budget for a state-wide pilot test. Advocates and consumers involved in the MHT SIG initiative strongly supported the use of the ERFS as one component in a comprehensive approach to the facilitation and evaluation of system transformation.

Instrument Description

Items and Domains: Both versions consist of 20 items reflecting four domain characteristics of recovery-oriented systems: person-centered, consumer-driven, community-focused and accessible/integrated. A preliminary factor analysis suggested a two-factor solution, but further data will be required to support a more formal analysis. The ERFS use a five-point Likert response scale with the following options, in order of ascending point value: Always, Often, Sometimes, Rarely and Never. Lower scores are associated with greater recovery orientation.

Translations/**Adaptations**: {The ERFS have not yet been made available in languages other than English.}

Method of Administration: The ERFS are available in paper-and-pencil format, and are intended to be self-administered.

Testing and Psychometric Properties

Initial pilot testing of the child and youth version lead to a number of revisions in both versions, including a reduction in the length of the assessments, language changes leading the reduction of the assessments' reading level from 12th to 6th grade,

simplification of the response scale, and the addition of four questions designed to measure specific MHT SIG initiative goals. While formal pilot testing of the child and youth version has not yet been completed, the adult version has been pilot tested through a convenience sample of 108 consumers using one of two Connecticut-based provider agencies.

Reliability: The internal consistency of the measure was assessed using the 87 surveys with complete data. The Cronbach's alpha of .96 suggested very high internal consistency. Inter-item correlations were examined with an eye to the possibility of eliminating items, but none of the correlations were found to be excessively high.

Validity: While formal efforts at establishing validity have not yet been undertaken, it is worth noting that, in contrast to some traditional service satisfaction measures, no positive response bias was noted in the pilot test data.

Usage Information

Permission to Use: The ERFS is not copyrighted. Permission is recommended but not required for use of the instrument. There is not a user's fee associated with the instrument.

Instrument Contact:

Priscilla Ridgway, PhD, MSW Yale Program for Recovery and Community Health 319 Peck Street, Building 6W, Suite IC New Haven, CT 06513 (203) 764-8667 priscilla.ridgway@yale.edu

References and Suggested Readings

Yale Program for Recovery and Community Health. (2007). Elements of a Recovery Facilitating System (ERFS) Assessments: Second year development and pilot. Unpublished report.

Elements of A Recovery Facilitating System (ERFS) Assessment – ADULT VERSION

Thinking of all the mental health services you receive, please indicate which of the following choices is most true for you. Please answer all of the items.

		Always	Often	Sometimes	Karely	Never
1)	Staff treats me as a whole person (with a body, mind, social life, and spiritual life).					
3)	•					
2)	Staff helps me create healthy daily routines.					
3)	Staff treats me as person who can learn, grow and change.					
4)	Staff seems to hold hope for me.					
5)	Staff helps me see and use my own strengths.					
6)	Staff cares about my race, religion, and culture.					
7)	My treatment plan is based on my own goals.					
8)	Staff respects me as a full partner.					
9)	Staff teaches me how to cope with my condition.					
10)	I have a say on how programs are run.					
11)	I can get services in my home and			П	П	
12)	community.			_		
12)	Staff helps me with my basic needs, such as income, housing, and transportation.					
13)	Staff helps me succeed in normal life roles, such as worker, tenant and student.					
14)	I am told about my rights and how to uphold them.					
15)	Staff helps me get connected, or to stay connected to others including family and friends.					
16)	Role models I can learn from work in the program.					
17)	All the services I receive are well coordinated.					
18)	I am given choices among good service options/providers.					
19)	Staff shares information clearly and openly.					
20)	I can receive services as long as I need them.					

Elements of A Resilience Facilitating System (ERFS) Assessment – CHILD VERSION

Thinking of all the special education, social services and mental health services your child receives, give the answer that is most true for you. Please complete all of the items.

		Always	Often	Sometimes	Rarely	Never
1)	Staff treats my child as a whole person (with a body, mind, social life, and					
	spiritual life).					
2)	Staff helps our family create healthy daily					
	routines.					
3)	Staff treats my child as person who can					
4)	learn, grow and change.					
4)	Staff seems to have hope.					
5)	Staff helps my child and our family see and use our strengths.					
6)	Staff cares about our race, religion, and					
0)	culture.					
7)	My child's treatment plan is based his/her					
	own goals.					
8)	Staff respects our family as a full partner.					
9)	Staff teaches us how to cope with my					
	child's condition.					
10)	We have a say on how our child's					
11)	programs are run.					
11)	We can get services in our home and community.					
12)	Staff helps our family with our basic					
,	needs, such as income, housing, and					
	transportation.					
13)	Staff helps my child succeed in normal life					
	roles, such as student and family member.					
14)	We are told about our rights and how to				П	
15)	uphold them.				—	
15)	Staff helps my child stay connected to others including family members and			П	П	
	friends.					
16)	My child has access to kids with similar					
	problems who are doing well (positive peer					
	role models).					
17)	All the services we receive are well			П	П	
40)	coordinated.					
18)	We are given choices among good service					
10)	options/providers. Staff shares information clearly and					
19)	openly.					
20)	My child can receive services as long as	_	_	_	_	
,	he/she needs them.					

RECOVERY PROMOTING RELATIONSHIPS SCALE (RPRS)

Russinova, Rogers, & Ellison (2006)

Information drawn from: {Russinova, Rogers & Ellison (n.d.)}

Introduction

Aim: The Recovery Promoting Relationships Scale (RPRS) is designed to assess providers' recovery-promoting competence from the perspective of adult service recipients. Recovery-promoting competence is conceptualized as the successful use of attitudes, skills and strategies to facilitate recipients' sense of hope, self-acceptance and empowerment. The RPRS can be used to measure recovery-promoting competence within a specific relationship between a provider and service recipient, or to measure the recipient's experience of the helpfulness of a specific relationship. Alternatively, the instrument can be used more broadly to measure provider competence more across an entire caseload.

Conceptual Foundation and Development: The development approach drew from Classical Test Theory and Item Response Theory (IRT) to operationalize recovery-promoting competence as a construct observable on three levels of complexity, identified as the macro, mezzo and micro levels. The macro level is analogous to overall recovery-promoting competence. At the mezzo level, a distinction is made between providers' strategies and the nature or quality of the recipient-provider relationship. The micro level relates exclusively to providers' strategies and the impact they have on recipients' experiences.

<u>Instrument Description</u>

Items and Domains: The instrument consists of 24 items, all of which use a Likert response scale. This scale offers four response options ranging from "disagree" to "agree." The scale scoring protocol generates scores for each of the three levels identified above. Scores for each item range from 0 (lowest competence) to 3 (highest competence). {Item scores are added together to create scores for the three subscales, two indeces, and the entire scale.} The total scale score represents the macro level, the scores for the Recovery Promoting Strategies Index and Core Relationship Index represent the mezzo level, and the scores for the three sub-scales of the Recovery Promoting Strategies Index – Hopefulness, Empowerment and Self-Acceptance – represent the micro level.

Translations/**Adaptations**: A Spanish translation has been created, and is being transliterated and culturally validated with four Spanish-speaking ethnic groups. The instrument authors anticipate that these processes will yield a Spanish version appropriate for use with recipients from a variety of Spanish-speaking cultures.

Method of Administration: The RPRS may be self-administered or administered by an interviewer. The scale instructions are designed to accommodate modifications for study purpose and service modality. Average administration time is ten minutes.

Testing and Psychometric Properties

Reliability: The total scale and the two indeces have demonstrated a high level of internal consistency, with alpha coefficients of .98, .97 and .95, respectively. The total scale and indeces have also demonstrated good test-retest reliability, with correlation coefficients of 0.72, 0.72 and 0.75, respectively.

Validity: Concurrent validity was testing using the Working Alliance Inventory (12) and the Helping Alliance Questionnaire. Correlation coefficients for the Working Alliance Inventory and the total scale and two indeces were 0.78, 0.73 and 0.78; with the Helping Alliance Questionnaire coefficients were 0.68, 0.67 and 0.63. The instrument has also produced significantly different scores when used in reference to different types of providers.

Usage Information

Permission: The RPRS is copyrighted. Permission is required for use of the instrument.

Instrument Contact:

Zlatka Russinova, PhD Boston University Center for Psychiatric Rehabilitation 940 Commonwealth Avenue, West Boston, MA 02215 (617) 353-3549 zlatka@bu.edu

References and Suggested Readings

 $\{Russinova, Z.\ , Rogers, E.S. \& Ellison, M.L.\ (n.d.)\}\ \textit{Recovery Promoting Relationships Scale}. \\ Promotional document.$

Other

The development of the RPRS was supported by the National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research and the Center for Mental Health Services of the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (grant # H133B90023).

RECOVERY PROMOTING RELATIONSHIPS SCALE

(Russinova, Rogers, & Ellison, 2006)

psycl Plea	hiatric conditions of the second	ements describe different ons might have with a m relationship you have w ox of the answer that bes	ental health or rehabi	litation prov	rider.
prov		ox of the answer that bes	ii describes your reidi	ionsnip wiii	i iiiis
1.	My provider	helps me recognize my s	strengths.		
	☐ Disagree	☐ Somewhat Disagree	☐ Somewhat Agree	□ Agree	□ Not Applicable
2.	My provider	tries to help me see the "	'glass as half-full" ins	tead of "hal	f-empty."
	□ Disagree	☐ Somewhat Disagree	☐ Somewhat Agree	□ Agree	□ Not Applicable
3.	My provider	helps me put things in pe	erspective.		
	□ Disagree	☐ Somewhat Disagree	☐ Somewhat Agree	□ Agree	□ Not Applicable
4.	My provider	helps me feel I can have	a meaningful life.		
	☐ Disagree	☐ Somewhat Disagree	☐ Somewhat Agree	□ Agree	□ Not Applicable
5.	I have a trust	ing relationship with my	provider.		
	□ Disagree	☐ Somewhat Disagree	☐ Somewhat Agree	\square Agree	□ Not Applicable
6.	My provider	helps me not to feel asha	amed about my psych	iatric condit	ion.
	□ Disagree	☐ Somewhat Disagree	☐ Somewhat Agree	\square Agree	□ Not Applicable
7.	My provider	helps me recognize my l	imitations.		
	□ Disagree	☐ Somewhat Disagree	☐ Somewhat Agree	\square Agree	□ Not Applicable
8.	My provider	helps me find meaning in	n living with a psychi	atric conditi	ion.
	□ Disagree	☐ Somewhat Disagree	☐ Somewhat Agree	□ Agree	□ Not Applicable
9.	My provider	helps me learn how to st	and up for myself.		
	□ Disagree	☐ Somewhat Disagree	☐ Somewhat Agree	\square Agree	□ Not Applicable
10.	My provider	accepts my down times.			
	□ Disagree	☐ Somewhat Disagree	☐ Somewhat Agree	\square Agree	□ Not Applicable
11.	My provider	encourages me to take cl	hances and try things		
- - •	□ Disagree	☐ Somewhat Disagree	Somewhat Agree	\square Agree	□ Not Applicable

12. M	Iy provider r	eminds me of my achiev	ements.		
	Disagree	☐ Somewhat Disagree	☐ Somewhat Agree	\square Agree	□ Not Applicable
13. M	Iy provider u	inderstands me.			
	Disagree	☐ Somewhat Disagree	☐ Somewhat Agree	\square Agree	□ Not Applicable
		ries to help me feel good	l about myself.		_
	Disagree	☐ Somewhat Disagree	☐ Somewhat Agree	□ Agree	□ Not Applicable
15 M	My provider h	valne ma laern from abel	langing aynarianass		
	_	nelps me learn from chal			
	Disagree	☐ Somewhat Disagree	☐ Somewhat Agree	□ Agree	□ Not Applicable
16. M		eally listens to what I ha	ive to sav.		
	Disagree	☐ Somewhat Disagree	☐ Somewhat Agree	\square Agree	□ Not Applicable
	- Disagree	- Somewnai Disagree	- Somewnai Agree	- Agree	— Поі Аррисион
17. N	My provider of	cares about me as a perso	on.		
	Disagree	☐ Somewhat Disagree	☐ Somewhat Agree	\square Agree	□ Not Applicable
	0	U U	Ü	0	**
18. M	Iy provider t	reats me with respect.			
	Disagree	☐ Somewhat Disagree	☐ Somewhat Agree	\square Agree	□ Not Applicable
					1
		nelps me feel hopeful abo		_	_
	Disagree	☐ Somewhat Disagree	☐ Somewhat Agree	\Box Agree	□ Not Applicable
20 M	Ny provider k	valne ma build ealf confi	danaa		
	_	nelps me build self-confi		п.	
	Disagree	☐ Somewhat Disagree	☐ Somewhat Agree	□ Agree	□ Not Applicable
21. M	ly provider s	ees me as a person and r	not just a diagnosis.		
	-	☐ Somewhat Disagree	☐ Somewhat Agree	\square Agree	□ Not Applicable
	Distiglee	Some what Disagree	Some with rigite	- 118166	- пострышие
22. M	Iy provider h	nelps me develop ways to	o live with my psychi	atric conditi	on.
	Disagree	☐ Somewhat Disagree	☐ Somewhat Agree	\square Agree	□ Not Applicable
	<u> </u>	· ·			* 1
23. M	Iy provider h	nas helped me understand	d the nature of my psy	ychiatric co	ndition.
	Disagree	☐ Somewhat Disagree	☐ Somewhat Agree	\square Agree	□ Not Applicable
	_	pelieves in me.	_	_	_
	Disagree	☐ Somewhat Disagree	☐ Somewhat Agree	\Box Agree	□ Not Applicable

INSTRUMENT UPDATE: RECOVERY SELF-ASSESSMENT

{O'Connell, Tondora, Croog, Evans & Davidson (2007)}

Overview

The Recovery Self-Assessment (RSA; O'Connell, Tondora, Croog, Evans & Davidson, 2005) was reviewed in full in *Measuring the Promise: A Compendium of Recovery Measures*, *Volume II* (Cambell-Orde et al., 2005). Since that review, the authors have revised the measure slightly. The revisions are changes in wording alone; the conceptual foundation and basic intent of the instrument remain the same. The Recovery Self-Assessment-Revised (RSA-R) is, like the RSA, available in four versions: 1) Person in Recovery, 2) Provider, 3) Administrator, 4) Family Member/Significant Other. Each of these versions uses a 5-point Agree/Disagree Likert response scale and ranges from 32 – 40 items. No updated information on the instrument's psychometric properties is available, as the RSA-R has not yet undergone pilot testing or other formal use.

Usage Information:

Permission: The RSA-R is not copyrighted. Permission is recommended but not required for use of the instrument. There is not a user's fee associated with the instrument.

Instrument Contact:

Maria O'Connell, Ph.D. Yale University School of Medicine 319 Peck Street, Building 6, Suite 1C New Haven, CT 06519 (203) 764-7593 maria.oconnell@yale.edu

RSA-R Person in Recovery Version

1 Strongly Disagree	2	3		4	5	Stro	nglv	5 Agree	
N/A= Not Applicable D/K= Don't Know							6,7	8	
1. Staff welcome me and help me feel con	nfortable in this p	orogram.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
2. The physical space of this program (e.g etc.) feels inviting and dignified.	., the lobby, wait	ing rooms,	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
3. Staff encourage me to have hope and hi my recovery.	gh expectations	for myself and	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
4. I can change my clinician or case manage	ger if I want to.		1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
5. I can easily access my treatment records	s if I want to.		1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
6. Staff do not use threats, bribes, or other do what they want.	forms of pressur	re to get me to	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
7. Staff believe that I can recover.			1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
8. Staff believe that I have the ability to m	nanage my own s	ymptoms.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
9. Staff believe that I can make my own linas where to live, when to work, who to be			1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
10. Staff listen to me and respect my decis care.	sions about my tr	reatment and	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
11. Staff regularly ask me about my intere to do in the community.	ests and the thing	s I would like	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
12. Staff encourage me to take risks and tr	ry new things.		1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
13. This program offers specific services t life experiences.	that fit my unique	e culture and	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
14. I am given opportunities to discuss my when I wish.	spiritual needs	and interests	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
15. I am given opportunities to discuss my when I wish.	/ sexual needs an	d interests	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
16. Staff help me to develop and plan for l symptoms or staying stable (e.g., employn fitness, connecting with family and friends	nent, education,		1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
17. Staff help me to find jobs.			1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
18. Staff help me to get involved in non-activities, such as church groups, adult edu			1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
19. Staff help me to include people who ar recovery/treatment planning (such as fami employer).			1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K

20. Staff introduce me to people in recovery who can serve as role models 4 5 N/A D/K or mentors. 21. Staff offer to help me connect with self-help, peer support, or 5 N/A D/K consumer advocacy groups and programs. 22. Staff help me to find ways to give back to my community, (i.e., 5 N/A D/K volunteering, community services, neighborhood watch/cleanup). 23. I am encouraged to help staff with the development of new groups, 5 N/A D/K programs, or services. 24. I am encouraged to be involved in the evaluation of this program's 5 N/A D/K services and service providers. 25. I am encouraged to attend agency advisory boards and/or 5 N/A D/K management meetings if I want. 26. Staff talk with me about what it would take to complete or exit this 5 N/A D/K program. 27. Staff help me keep track of the progress I am making towards my N/A D/K personal goals. 28. Staff work hard to help me fulfill my personal goals. 5 N/A D/K 29. I am/can be involved with staff trainings and education programs at 3 5 N/A D/K this agency. 30. Staff listen, and respond, to my cultural experiences, interests, and 3 5 N/A D/K concerns. 31. Staff are knowledgeable about special interest groups and activities in 3 4 5 N/A D/K the community. 32. Agency staff are diverse in terms of culture, ethnicity, lifestyle, and 3 4 5 N/A D/K interests.

RSA-R Provider Version

N/A N A P	1 Strongly Disagree	2	3		4		S	tron	5 gly Ag	ree
N/A= Not Applic D/K= Don't Kno										
	oncerted effort to weld fortable in this progra		recovery and help	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
	agency offers an inviti ., the lobby, waiting re		l physical	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
3. Staff encourag expectations for t	e program participant their recovery.	s to have hope a	nd high	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
4. Program partic they wish.	cipants can change the	ir clinician or ca	se manager if	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
5. Program partic wish.	cipants can easily acce	ss their treatmer	nt records if they	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
	e threats, bribes, or ot program participants.	her forms of pre	ssure to influence	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
7. Staff believe in	n the ability of program	m participants to	recover.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
8. Staff believe thown symptoms.	nat program participar	its have the abili	ty to manage their	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
	nat program participar such as where to live,			1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
	and respect the decision treatment and care.	ions that prograr	n participants	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
	y ask program particip d like to do in the com		interests and the	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
12. Staff encoura things.	ge program participan	its to take risks a	and try new	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
	offers specific servic ad life experiences.	es that fit each p	participant's	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
14. Staff offer pa and interests whe	rticipants opportunitien they wish.	es to discuss thei	r spiritual needs	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K

15. Staff offer participants opportunities to discuss their sexual needs and interests when they wish.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
16. Staff help program participants to develop and plan for life goals beyond managing symptoms or staying stable (e.g., employment, education, physical fitness, connecting with family and friends, hobbies).	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
17. Staff routinely assist program participants with getting jobs.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
18. Staff actively help program participants to get involved non-mental health/addiction related activities, such as church groups, adult education, sports, or hobbies.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
19. Staff work hard to help program participants to include people who are important to them in their recovery/treatment planning (such as family, friends, clergy, or an employer).	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
20. Staff actively introduce program participants to persons in recovery who can serve as role models or mentors.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
21. Staff actively connect program participants with self-help, peer support, or consumer advocacy groups and programs.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
22. Staff actively help people find ways to give back to their community (i.e., volunteering, community services, neighborhood watch/cleanup).	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
23. People in recovery are encouraged to help staff with the development of new groups, programs, or services.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
24. People in recovery are encouraged to be involved in the evaluation of this agency's programs, services, and service providers.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
25. People in recovery are encouraged to attend agency advisory boards and management meetings.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
26. Staff talk with program participants about what it takes to complete or exit the program.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
27. Progress made towards an individuals' own personal goals is tracked regularly.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
28. The primary role of agency staff is to assist a person with fulfilling his/her own goals and aspirations.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
29. Persons in recovery are involved with facilitating staff trainings and education at this program.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
30. Staff at this program regularly attend trainings on cultural competency.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
31. Staff are knowledgeable about special interest groups and activities in the community.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
32. Agency staff are diverse in terms of culture, ethnicity, lifestyle, and interests.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K

RSA-R Administrator/Manager Version

	Strong	1 ly Disagree		2	3				4		Stro	5 ongly A	gree
N/A= Not Applic D/K= Don't Kno													
1. Staff make a c and help them to					n recovery		1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
2. This program/environment (e.g					ed physical		1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
3. Staff encourage expectations for			ants to h	ave hope	and high		1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
4. Program partic they wish.	cipants c	an change t	heir clii	nician or	case manag	ger	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
5. Program partic they wish.	cipants c	an easily ac	ccess the	eir treatm	ent records	if	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
6. Staff do not us influence the beh					ressure to		1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
7. Staff believe in	n the abi	lity of prog	ram par	ticipants	to recover.		1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
8. Staff believe the manage their own			oants ha	ve the ab	ility to		1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
9. Staff believe the choices regarding to be friends with	g things						1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
10. Staff listen to participants make					am		1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
11. Staff regularl and the things the							1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
12. Staff encourathings.	ige prog	ram particiţ	oants to	take risks	s and try ne	W	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
13. This program unique culture ar			vices tha	at fit each	participant	t's	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
14. Staff offer paneeds and interes			ities to o	discuss th	eir spiritual	I	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
15. Staff offer pa			ities to o	discuss th	eir sexual		1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K

16. Staff help program participants to develop and plan for life goals beyond managing symptoms or staying stable (e.g., employment, education, physical fitness, connecting with family and friends, hobbies).	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
17. Staff routinely assist program participants with getting jobs.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
18. Staff actively help program participants to get involved in non-mental health related activities, such as church groups, adult education, sports, or hobbies.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
19. Staff work hard to help program participants to include people who are important to them in their recovery/treatment planning (such as family, friends, clergy, or an employer).	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
20. Staff actively introduce program participants to persons in recovery who can serve as role models or mentors.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
21. Staff actively connect program participants with self-help, peer support, or consumer advocacy groups and programs.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
22. Staff actively help people find ways to give back to their community (i.e., volunteering, community services, neighborhood watch/cleanup).	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
23. People in recovery are encouraged to help staff with the development of new groups, programs, or services.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
24. People in recovery are encouraged to be involved in the evaluation of this agency's programs, services, and service providers.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
25. People in recovery are encouraged to attend agency advisory boards and management meetings.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
26. Staff talk with program participants about what it takes to complete or exit the program.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
27 Progress made towards an individuals' own personal goals is tracked regularly.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
28. The primary role of agency staff is to assist a person with fulfilling his/her own goals and aspirations.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
29. Persons in recovery are involved with facilitating staff trainings and education at this program.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
30. Staff at this program regularly attend trainings on cultural competency.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
31. Staff are knowledgeable about special interest groups and activities in the community.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
32. Agency staff are diverse in terms of culture, ethnicity, lifestyle, and interests.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
Separate Section for Administrators Only							

33. This agency provides formal opportunities for people in recovery, family members, service providers, and administrators to learn about recovery.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
34. This agency provides structured educational activities to the community about mental illness and addictions.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
35. This agency provides a variety of treatment options for program participants (e.g., individual, group, peer support, medical, community –based, employment, skill building, employment, etc.).	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
36. Groups, meetings, and other activities are scheduled in the evenings or on weekends so as not to conflict with other recovery-oriented activities such as employment or school.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K

RSA-R Family Member/Significant Other Version

	1 2 3 Strongly Disagree				4		5 Strongly Agree			
N/A= Not Applic D/K= Don't Know										
1. Staff make efforcomfortable in this	orts to welcome my love is program.	ed one and he	elp him/her to feel	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
2. The physical spetc.) feels inviting	pace of this program (e.g and dignified.	g., the lobby,	, waiting rooms,	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
3. Staff encourage his/her recovery.	e my loved one to have	hope and hig	th expectations for	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
4. My loved one owants to.	can change his/her clini	cian or case 1	manager if he/she	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
5. My loved one owishes.	can easily access his/he	r treatment re	ecords if he/she	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
6. Staff do not use the behavior of m	e threats, bribes, or other y loved one.	er forms of pr	ressure to influence	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
7. Staff believe th	at my loved one can re	cover.		1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
8. Staff believe th symptoms.	at my loved one has the	e ability to m	anage his/her own	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
	aat program participants such as where to live, w			1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
10. Staff listen to his/her treatment	my loved one and resp and care.	ect his/her de	ecisions about	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
	y ask my loved one abould like to do in the con		erests and the	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
12. Staff encourag	ge my loved one to take	risks and try	new things.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
13. This program life experiences o	offers specific services f my loved one.	that fit the u	nique culture and	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
	is given opportunities ts when he or she wishe		or her spiritual	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
	is given opportunities ts when he or she wishe		or her sexual	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K

16. Staff help my loved one to develop and plan for life goals beyond managing symptoms or staying stable (e.g., employment, education, physical fitness, connecting with family and friends, hobbies).	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
17. Staff assist my loved one with getting jobs.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
18. Staff help my loved one to get involved in non-mental health related activities, such as church groups, adult education, sports, or hobbies.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
19. Staff help my loved one to include people who are important to him/her in his/her recovery/treatment planning (such as family, friends, clergy, or an employer).	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
20. Staff introduce my loved one to others in recovery who can serve as role models or mentors.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
21. Staff connect my loved one with self-help, peer support, or consumer advocacy groups and programs.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
22. Staff help my loved one to find ways to give back to the community (i.e., volunteering, community services, neighborhood watch/cleanup).	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
23. My loved one is encouraged to help staff with the development of new groups, programs, or services.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
24.Program participants are encouraged to be involved in the evaluation of this program's services and service providers.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
25. My loved one is encouraged to attend agency advisory boards and							
management meetings	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
26. Staff talk with my loved one about what it takes to complete or exit the program.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
27. Staff help my loved one keep track of the progress he/she makes towards his/her personal goals.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
28. Staff work hard to help my loved one fulfill his/her personal goals.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
29. My loved one is or can be involved in facilitating staff trainings and education programs at this agency.	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
30. Staff listen, and respond, to my loved ones cultural experiences,	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	D/K
interests, and concerns.	1	2	5				
	1	2	3		5	N/A	D/K
interests, and concerns.31. Staff are knowledgeable about special interest groups and activities in				4		N/A	
interests, and concerns.31. Staff are knowledgeable about special interest groups and activities in the community.32. Agency staff are diverse in terms of culture, ethnicity, lifestyle, and	1	2	3	4			

- 34. Staff encourage me to have hope and high expectations for my loved 1 2 3 4 5 N/A D/K one's recovery. 35. Staff listen to me and respect my opinion about my loved one's 3 4 5 N/A D/K treatment and care. 36. Staff include me in my loved one's recovery/ treatment planning. 5 N/A D/K 37. I am encouraged to help staff with the development of new groups, 4 5 N/A D/K programs, or services. 38. I am encouraged to be involved in the evaluation of this program's 2 3 4 5 N/A D/K services and service providers.
- 39. I am encouraged to attend agency advisory boards and management meetings, if I want.
- 40. I am/ can be involved in facilitating staff trainings and education programs at this agency.

5 N/A D/K

3 4 5 N/A D/K